Effectiveness of a diode laser in addition to non-surgical periodontal therapy: study of intervention
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Summary

Background. Chronic periodontitis affects 47% of adult population over the age of 30. The first phase of periodontal treatment is always represented by scaling and root planning (SRP), that is a causal, non-surgical therapy that recognizes as primary aims the control of bacterial infection and the reduction of periodontal plaque-associated inflammation. Yet, another innovative causal therapy is represented by the irradiation of periodontal pockets with laser.

Aim. To evaluate the effect of a 940-nm diode laser as an adjunct to SRP in patients affected by periodontitis.

Materials and methods. Sixty-eight adult patients with moderate-to-severe periodontitis were sequentially enrolled and undergone to periodontal examination (V1) in order to detect gingival index (GI), plaque index (PI) and probing depth (PD). The patients were randomly divided into two groups: the first (n=34) received SRP treatment alone, the control group (n=34) received SRP and 940-nm diode laser therapy.

Results. Data were analyzed by Student’s t-test, with two tails; for all clinical parameters, both groups reported statistically significant differences compared to basal values (p<0.0001). Both procedures were effective in improving GI, PI and PD, but the use of diode laser was associated with more evident results.

Conclusions. Considered the better clinical outcomes, diode laser can be routinely associated with SRP in the treatment of periodontal pockets of patients with moderate-to-severe periodontitis.
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Introduction

The periodontal disease is a chronic inflammatory process, characterized by bacterial etiology and cyclic evolution, which determines a progressive, site-specific destruction of the supporting tissues of the tooth, and proceeds from the superficial periodontium (gum) to the deep periodontium (alveolar bone, periodontal ligament, cementum), resulting in typical pathological lesions (periodontal pockets, gingival recessions, vertical and/or horizontal bone resorption, bifurcation lesions) to the loss of the dental element (1).

From the epidemiological point of view, in the United States of America chronic periodontitis affects 47% of the adult population over the age of 30, distributed in mild (9%), moderate (30%) and severe (8%) (2).

Although a number of risk factors can influence the start, progression and prognosis of periodontitis (age, sex, cigarette smoking, hormonal changes, immune system disorders, systemic diseases, diabetes, stress) (3-5), the main etiological factor is represented by dental plaque and in particular by anaerobic gram-negative bacilli (6). For that reason, the first phase of periodontal treatment is always represented by the initial preparation, which is a type of etiologic, non-surgical therapy, which recognizes as primary aims the elimination or reduction of bacterial infection and the control of periodontal plaque-associated inflammation (7). We chose to use laser therapy to personally verify the actual efficiency, where the literature talks to us for a long time, of this treatment option in the treatment of periodontally compromised patients and to evaluate their satisfaction. In addition to SRP the use of laser therapy, as shown by several studies, appears to improve and facilitate the healing of treated sites. Recently, Yilmaz et al. (2013) have shown that in the sites treated with the use of laser Er:YAG in addition to SRP, reached statistically significant improvements in terms of attachment gain and reduction in PD compared to sites treated with only srp or only topical gaseous ozone (8). Other study that compares, like treatment options, Nd:YAG laser alone, SRP alone, and Nd:Yag plus SRP has demonstrated that the last option of treatment is the best in term of reduction of
PI, GI, PPD and GCF volume values (9). Another type of laser (KTP: potassium-titanyl-phosphate) has shown to be a significant help in SRP, like demonstrated in a study of Romeo et al. (2010). In this study, treated sites are divided into four groups and the best results were obtained in the groups in which the laser has been used (10). A diode laser has a wavelength of 810-980 Nm, and there are many different laser used in periodontology with a different wavelength; Ktp laser has a wavelength of 532 Nm, Nd:YAG laser of 1064 Nm, Er:Yag of 2940 Nm and CO2 laser of 9600-10600 Nm (11). We used diode laser cause has an easier learning curve and a more affordable price than others.

**Aim of the study**

To check and compare the possible improvement of the periodontal indices in two groups of patients with periodontal disease: the first group undergone to SRP, the second one to SRP with the addition of laser therapy.

**Materials and methods**

At the Department of Dentistry of the University Hospital “Mater Domini” of Catanzaro, sixty-eight adult patients (mean age of 56.3 years) with moderate-to-severe periodontal disease were sequentially enrolled on a voluntary basis. Totally 1224 sites with periodontal disease are treated.

The selected cases were based on the following inclusion criteria: 1) patients 18 or older; 2) single or multi-rooted teeth; 3) patients that received the same laser treatment including: laser settings, procedural steps and technique; 4) patients who undergone, after 4 months, to follow-up and 5) patients that had no surgery for 12 months prior to treatment. There was no exclusion of smokers or patients with medical conditions.

After having obtained a thorough medical and dentistry anamnesis and the underwriting of a specific informed consent, all the patients underwent a periodontal examination (V1), in order to detect the most common indices of periodontal health. The examination has followed the principles of Declaration of Helsinki.

The degree of gingival inflammation was assessed by gingival index (GI) of Löe and Silness (1967) (12), measured from 0 to 3 on each tooth:

- 0 = healthy gingiva
- 1 = mild inflammation, absence of bleeding on probing
- 2 = moderate inflammation, bleeding on probing
- 3 = severe inflammation, spontaneous bleeding.

The level of hygiene was assessed by plaque index (PI) of Silness and Löe (1964) (13):

- 0 = absence of plaque
- 1 = presence of plaque detectable with probe
- 2 = moderate accumulation of plaque, which is visible to the naked eye
- 3 = abundant accumulation of plaque.

GI and PI were detected on 6 index teeth: 12, 16, 24, 32, 36, 44.

It was then measured the pocket depth (PD), which consists in measuring the distance in mm between the free gingival margin and the base of the pocket, making six records for each dental element: mesial, central and distal probing of the buccal and lingual-palatal sides of all teeth (14). The values in mm of the survey were then converted into a score called T-score:

- 0 = PD between 0 and 2 mm
- 1 = PD between 2 and 4 mm
- 2 = PD between 4 and 6 mm
- 3 = PD over 6 mm.

After having filled a complete medical records, patients were randomly divided into two treatment groups.

The patients of the first group (n=34) received initial preparation. It consists in the mechanical instrumentation of the root surface, in order to make it biologically compatible with the healing of periodontal tissues; that is made possible by two fundamental procedures:

- scaling, which consists in removing mucobacterial plaque and tartar from supragingival and subgingival tooth surfaces, generally through the use of inserts fixed on an ultrasonic scaler;
- root planning, which consists in the removal of deposits of subgingival calculus, granulation tissue and softened, infected or necrotic cementum, eliminating the irregularities of the root surface to make it smooth and hard, typically through the use of manual tools such as the curettes.

The second group of patients (n=34) received the same therapy did in the first group, but with the addition of laser therapy through a 940 nm diode laser (ezlase™ soft tissue diode laser - Biolase Technology Inc.). This laser is extremely compact and easy to handle; the active medium is a semiconductor and the pumping system is an electric current (15); the wavelength’s laser of 940 nm makes it considerably affine to molecules of hemoglobin and melanin (16).

The operating protocol of the treatment of periodontal pockets in by 940 nm diode laser provides for the application of the following dosimetric values:

- power: 3 W
- pulse frequency: 15 Hz
- fluence: 1.2 J/mm²
- emission mode of laser light: pulsed
- on time (pulse duration): 10 ms
- time-off (relaxation time): 20 ms
- average power: 1 W
- optical diameter fiber and tips: 300-400 μ.

The pulsed emission has two important clinical advantages:

- enables the thermal relaxation during the time-off, avoiding the overheating and charring of radiated tissues;
- allows to operate without the use of anesthesia.

Treatment should not begin before all the people in the room have not been wearing protective glasses.
After connecting all the components (pedal and optical fiber), it is essential to activate the tip on a dark cardboard (e.g., blue articulation paper) and check that the spot of the light guide designs a perfect circle, making sure that it has not a star-shaped appearance; the activated tip presents a blackened and, at this point, the laser is ready for use. Given the high affinity of the 940 nm diode laser for dark colors, we were able to increase the bactericidal effect just irrigating the site to be treated with an exogenous chromophore, that is, a pigmented substance that makes the tissues more susceptible to the light of the diode laser. Substances such as povidone-iodine (Betadine®) and methylene blue contribute to reduce the bacterial load due to their disinfectant power; moreover these pigments bind to the cytoplasmic membrane of bacteria, making them darker (17, 18). So you have just to irrigate the quadrant to be treated with such substances and wait 30 seconds, without rinsing. Therefore fit the tip within the periodontal pocket, keeping at about 1 mm from the bottom of the same and in the parallel direction to the long axis of the tooth to be treated. The tips have a metal core which allows their inclination according to the needs of the operator and to the spatial arrangement of the pocket. Once the light beam is activated, the tip must be moved within the pocket in both horizontal and vertical directions, as to draw a pattern, with rapid movements, leading the tip toward the inner wall of the pocket, in order to remove junctional epithelium migrated and induce bleeding necessary for the formation of a clot. It is recommended to make for each pocket 3 cycles of irradiation, each lasting 30 seconds. It is important during the treatment to maintain the aspirator near the site, to avoid overheating. When you remove the tip from the pocket, it might have residues of sulcular epithelium and infected granulation tissue, in which case you need to clean it up with a gauze soaked in disinfectant before proceeding to the next pocket. The clinical follow-up has been executed, in both groups, after 4 months of treatment (V4). After an appropriate causal non-surgical therapy and an adequate oral hygiene at home by the patient, periodontal tissues undergo a healing process that is complete after an average of 3 months. In particular, it assists to:

• reduction in probing depth (PD), due to recollagenation of the supracrestal fibers, obviously in function variable depending on the depth of initial probing (19,20);
• reduction in gingival index (GI) and bleeding on probing, due to the reduction of the inflammatory infiltrate;
• reduction in the plaque index (PI) and change of supra- and subgingival bacterial flora, with a decrease of Gram-negative and an increase Gram-positive (21, 22).

Data were analyzed by Student’s T test with two tails. For all clinical parameters considered, both treatment groups reported statistically significant differences compared to baseline (p < 0.0001).

Results

The biggest difference was found relatively to the average gingival index (GI). In the group of patients undergoing initial preparation alone, such clinical parameter decreased from the value of 1.6 to the value of 0.9, with a reduction of 44% as average, while in the group of subjects treated with diode laser, the average gingival index was turned from the value of 1.5 to 0.3, demonstrating a decrease of 80%; therefore the addition of the laser-therapy allows to reduce the average gingival index than double the SRP alone (80 vs 44%).

Plaque index and probing depths were reduced by a mean of 57 and 58% in the group of patients receiving SRP alone, while in the group of patients undergoing SRP + laser therapy, PI and PD underwent a mean percentage decrease of 67 and 76%.

Ultimately, the results of this study clearly shows that both procedures are effective in improving periodontal indices (GI, PI, PD) compared to basal values, but the association of the diode laser to the initial preparation allows to obtain clinical results more evident than the single procedure of SRP (Tabs. 1, 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Middle Value</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average PI (V1)</td>
<td>2,1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average PI (V4)</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average GI (V1)</td>
<td>1,6</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average GI (V4)</td>
<td>0,9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average PD (V1)</td>
<td>2,6</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average PD (V4)</td>
<td>1,1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Results of Group II (SRP and laser therapy).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Middle Value</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average PI (V1)</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average PI (V4)</td>
<td>0,5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average GI (V1)</td>
<td>1,5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average GI (V4)</td>
<td>0,3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average PD (V1)</td>
<td>1,7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average PD (V4)</td>
<td>0,4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion

The remarkable difference between the two procedures in improving periodontal variables is attributable to the benefits from the use of diode laser in addition to the traditional procedures of SRP in the treatment of periodontal pockets (23, 24). They are:

• bactericidal effect;
• curettage effect;
• bio-stimulating effect.

Combining laser therapy with conventional procedures is in fact achieved a more effective decontamination of the pocket, with also a recolonization slower than sites treated only mechanically (25-29); some
Authors attribute this phenomenon to clot formation in the pocket, that would act as a seal to it. Thanks to curettage effect, the laser eliminates the sulcular epithelium infected in a total and complete way than conventional methods of treatment with manual tools (30), without any kind of damage to the underlying connective tissue and reducing the bacterial load of Actinobacillus actinomycetemcomitans and Porphyromonas gingivalis (31), which easily penetrate within the sulcular epithelium. Furthermore there is a scientific evidence on the direct antimicrobial effect of diode laser against levels of Targeted Periodontal Pathogens (32). According to Kreisler (2005) the greatest reduction in the degree of tooth mobility and probing depth in the group of patients who underwent SRP + laser therapy can be mainly attributed not to the killing of bacteria in periodontal pockets, but rather to the complete removal of infected sulcular epithelium, which leads to greater attack of the connective tissue (33). Furthermore, the removal of granulation tissue infected promotes the healing of connective tissue with a decrease in probing depth, gingival index and tooth mobility and a recovery of clinical attacks significantly higher compared to the initial preparation only (33-36); these results, in addition to being the best, are longer lasting and more stable over time (37). However, according to De Micheli (2011) the results of the two therapeutic procedures are similar with regard to plaque index and bleeding on probing, for which laser therapy does not lead to additional benefits (38). Also according to Dukic (2012) the results of the two treatments are similar in terms of plaque index, bleeding on probing and clinical attachment level: the addition of laser therapy showed a marked improvement in PD, but only in periodontal pockets of moderate depth (from 4 to 6 mm) (39).

Finally, because of the biostimulant effect (29,40-42), laser therapy induces the acceleration of mitotic processes within the irradiated tissues, without causing structural and/or functional alterations. According to the studies of Benedicenti (2008), the laser would stimulate mitochondrial activity, with a production of intracellular ATP >22% in irradiated cells compared to those not exposed to radiant energy, resulting in a halving of the times of cell duplication (43). In an in vitro study of Soares et al. (2013) has been demonstrated as low-level laser irradiation (LLLI) has a positive stimulatory effect on the proliferation of human periodontal ligament stem cells. This study was carried out on two healthy permanent third molars extracted due to surgical indication (44). Conlan (1996) found an increase of about 50% of the proliferation and differentiation of fibroblasts and collagen synthesis within the periodontal ligament (45), which process, according to Choi (2010) begins to manifest between the next 24-48 hours to laser treatment, and intensifies especially after the 72 hours (46); all these reactions accelerate the healing process and encourages a speedy recovery in clinical attachment.

In addition, Castro (2006) conducted a study on the histological evaluation of the use of the diode laser in vivo in addition to SRP procedures, analyzing the following parameters: residual debris, root surface morphology, thermal side effects; he concluded that the laser therapy does not cause any mechanical alteration or thermal damage to the cementum (47). Regarding the tolerance and compliance of the patient, the laser therapy of periodontal pockets does not involve discomfort or intraoperative pain, nor requires, as a rule, the execution of loco-regional anesthesia, since the power values provided are relatively low (3 W) and the energy is supplied in pulsed mode (41). While recognizing these indisputable results, it should be stressed, however, that the laser acts only as an adjunct to etiological non-surgical periodontal therapy, not being able to replace traditional mechanical procedures of SRP; in this regard, the study of Kamma et al. (2009) showed that combining mechanical treatment (SRP) with diode laser therapy produces better results than the laser therapy alone, both in clinical (probing depth and clinical attachment level) and bacteriological terms (total bacterial count of periodontal pathogens) (20).

Conclusions

The results show the adjunctive benefits that diode laser treatment can provide when it is used as an adjunct to non surgical periodontal treatment. Considered the better clinical results, the laser diode can be routinely associated with the traditional mechanical non-surgical therapy (SRP) in the treatment of periodontal pockets of patients with moderate-to-severe chronic periodontitis. The results of such studies encourage us in hoping that the use of complementary low power laser in the future will become a part of the standard protocol of non-surgical periodontal therapy.
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